UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,507  | 03/25/2004  | Shinichi Ogino       | KPC-0311            | 5522             |
| 23353 7590 03/18/2008<br>RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC  |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| LION BUILDII  | NG          |                      | MAYEKAR, KISHOR     |                  |
| 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |             | I                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1795                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 03/18/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |       |  |  |
|--|---|--|-------|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence   | 10/808,507  | OGINO, SHINICH   | I     |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |       |  |  |
|  | Kishor Mayekar  | 1795   |       |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad   | dress |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | <b>J.</b> lely filed  the mailing date of this α  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |       |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De  | ecember 2007.   |  |       |  |  |
| · <u> </u>   |   |  |       |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the   |   |  |       |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E.  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |       |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |       |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or e  |   |  |       |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |       |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction represents the specific | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CF                             | , ,   |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |       |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National  | Stage |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:   | ite  |       |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,507 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

## Election/Restrictions

1. The response to restriction requirement filed 13 December 2007 is not complete due to unclear election of species. This could be due to the examiner's restriction. The restriction is repeated in this action.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a coating film forming method, classified in class 204, subclass 471+.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to a coated article, classified in class 428, subclass 626+.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a different process such as the predrying of the wet coating is made by an air oven.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because

Art Unit: 1795

the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: claims 6-12 with the recited embodiments of the multilayer. The species are independent or distinct because the multilayer as claimed in each of claims 6-12 are mutually exclusive:
  - o claim 6 with the multilayer of either a waterborne primer coating or electrodeposition coating, and a top coat;
  - o claim 7 with the multilayer of either a waterborne primer coating or electrodeposition coating, and an intermediate coating;
  - o claim 8 with the multilayer of either a waterborne primer coating or waterborne intermediate coating, and a top coat;
  - o claim 9 with the multilayer of a thermosetting waterborne top coat and a thermosetting clear coating, and a top coat;
  - o claim 10 with the multilayer of a first thermosetting waterborne top coat, a second thermosetting waterborne top coat, and a thermosetting clear coating;
  - o claim 11 with the multilayer of an electrodeposition coating, a waterborne intermediate coating, a thermosetting waterborne top coat, and a thermosetting clear coating; and

o claim 12 with the multilayer of an electrodeposition coating, a waterborne intermediate coating, a thermosetting waterborne top coat containing a coloring pigment, a thermosetting waterborne top coat containing a light reflecting pigment; and a thermosetting clear coating.

Further, in claims 6-8, there are subspecies within the first layer;

- o claim 6 with the waterborne primer coating or the electrodeposition coating;
- o claim 7 with the waterborne primer coating or the electrodeposition coating; and
- o claim 8 with the waterborne primer coating or the waterborne intermediate coating.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Following is an example for the clarification to the election of species: if Applicant elects species of claim 6, for example, Applicant needs to further elect which species of the waterborne coating for examination: the waterborne primer coating or the electrodeposition coating.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,507

Art Unit: 1795

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Page 7